

#15

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/776,321

Applicant(s)  
Wubben et al

Examiner  
Curtis E. Sherrer

Group Art Unit  
1761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Curtis E. Sherrer

(3) Martin J. Hatzmann

(2) John P. Murtaugh

(4) Sascha Wijsman

Date of Interview Nov 12, 1998

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 18 of the Preliminary Amendment (Paper # 14) and Claim 41 and the product claims.

Identification of prior art discussed:

All the prior art of record in the standing rejections.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants will consider submitting another preliminary amendment narrowing the claims to the use of a hop pectin extract and arguments directed to the patentability of said amended claims in view of the prior art. Will also consider submitting a 1.132 affidavit showing the differences between hop pectin and beet pectin thereby distinguishing beet pectin products from the instant products.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



CURTIS E. SHERRER  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1761

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.